(c) No definite date can be given as revamp proposals involve heavy investment.

Rehabilitation Scheme for Haldia Project

2282. SHRI RAMACHANDRAN PILLAI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that M/s. UDHE, Germany and Mls. TOYO Japan had proposed a rehabilitation scheme for Haldia projects in Mid '88 with an investment of Rs. 500 crores and given a guarantee of total revival;

(b) whether it is also a fact that for three years since the said proposal for revival, no decision has been taken;

(c) quantity of ferider imported during these three years;

(d) the amount of foreign exchange spent during these three years; and

(e) whether it is a fact that due to indecision the revival package offered in 1988 has escalated in terms of cost?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes, Sir. The Consultants had given a guarantee of 80 per cent capacity utilisation for the ammonia plant and of 100/ per cent for the nitrophosphate plant.

(c) and (d) The quantity of fertilizers imported into the country and the amount of foreign exchange in equivalent Rupees, spent during three years, is given below: —

Year	Quantity of Fertilizers imported (in lakh MTs)				Rs/Crores
	Nitrogen	Phosphate	Potash	Total	C&F Value of imp orts
1988-89 .	2.19	4.07	9.82	16.08	644.63
1989-90 .	5.23	13.11	12.80	31.14	1538.7[7]
1990-91 .	4.14	10.16	13.28	27.58	1335.82*

(b) and (e) The report of foreign consultants for revamping of the project involved a very heavy investment which would have made the plant economically unviable. In view of this, no decision has been taken. In the meantime, the cost has escalated for various reasons including inflation, rupee devaluation, etc.

IDPL; Discpline, conduct and Appeal Roles

2283. SHRIMATI SARLA MAHESHWARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Discipline, Conduct and Appeal Rules of IDPL have two rules viz. 25 and 30-A for dealing with offences such as unauthorised absence from duty;

(b) whether the Board of Directors have issued guidelines on criteria to be applied for involving either of these rules;

(c) the number of cases of un authorised absence of employees du ring 1989-90, 1990-91 and the number of them until 31st December, 1991;

(d) how many of these were pro ceeded against under Rule 30-A and

what happened to the remaining cases and

(e) whether there is any provision for appeal against conviction under Rule 30-A?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS A.ND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) Rule 25 of the Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Conduct, Discipline and Appeal Rules, 1978 prescribes the procedure for imposition of major penalties. Rule 30-A of the said rules lays down special procedure in respect of certain categories of cases. Guidelines for invoking the provisions of Rule 30-A are provided in the rule itself.

(c) and (d) In all, there have been 36 cases of un-authorised absence in the Marketing Division of IDPL during the period. Out of the 36 cases, 8 cases were processed under Rule 30-A. Of the remaining 28 cases, 15 cases were closed either on account of the employees reporting back for duty, opting for voluntary retirement, resignation or death. Four cases are under preliminary stages of processing. In respect of the rest 9 cases, charge-sheets were issued under Rule 25.

(e) No, Sir.

Dunkel Proposals on Patent Drugs

2284. SHRl CHIMANBHAI MEHTA:
SHRIMATI MIRA DAS.

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state-

(a) whether it is a fact that Arthur Dunkel's proposals on patented drugs and pesticides are going to hit our local industries adversely; if so the details thereof, if not the reasons therefor:

(b) whether Government are aware of the fact that drugs are the cheapest in the world today and after the approval of Dunkel's proposal they would be extremely costly;

(c) whether it is also a fact that in the past late Smt. Indira Gandhi and Shri Rajiv Gandhi opposed similar proposals akin to Dunkel; and

(d) what is the response of Government to Dunkel's proposals on drugs and pesticides:

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (d) The Dunkel proposals are still in the process of being examined and Government stand in respect of these proposals has not yet been finalised.

Closing of Cases on Drugs issues

2285. SHRIMATI RATAN KUMARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be plea sed to refer to the answer to Unstr-red Question 3098 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 19th December, 1991 and state:

(a) what are the details of the case which has been finally closed;

(b) what were the main allegations made in this case and who investigated these allegations;

(c) what are the names of other-officers listed in these complaints and" what are the allegations against each;

(d) who is examining the allegations made against them and since when these complaints are being examined;

(e) what is the present status Of such examination;

(f) whether Government are competent to call for the clarifications from the officers involved in the alleged corruption; and

(g) what are the reasons for not referring such cases to Central Vigilance Commission for investigation and